Discussion of paper by H. Hau & H. Rey "Global Portfolio Rebalancing under the Microscope"

Marcel Fratzscher

European Central Bank

CEPR Conference on International Adjustment Brussels, 9 - 10 November 2007

The usual disclaimers apply.

Paper

- Important contribution on portfolio rebalancing
 - International; equity markets
- Key feature: imperfect substitutability between domestic and foreign assets due to exchange rate risk
- Q: Can we link portfolio shifts / capital flows to exchange rate (risk) and returns?
- Related to earlier portfolio balance models (Kouri 1982; Branson & Henderson 1985) – little evidence
- Hau & Rey (2006; RFS, AER P&P), Tille and van Wincoop (2007)

Two Contributions

Portfolio balance (PB) model based on HR 2006

- Discrete time setting with 3 periods each period revealing information
- Derive 4 empirically testable propositions about link between portfolio rebalancing, returns and risk

Empirical test of PB model

Rel. novel dataset: >1000 mutual funds & institutional investors; semi-annual data; 1998-2002 (large n, small t); from 4 currency areas (US, UK, CA, EA); representative of overall portfolio equity holdings (-> CPIS)

Model

$$RB_{j,t}^{f} = \omega_{j,t}^{f} - \omega_{j,t-1}^{f} \left(1 + r_{j,t}^{f} / 1 + r_{j,t}^{P} \right)$$

$$RB_{j,t}^{f} = c + \alpha \left(r_{j,t-k}^{f} - r_{j,t-k}^{h} \right) + D_{t} + \mathcal{E}_{j,t}$$

- RB=0: passive investment strategy → shift in portfolio weights only due to price changes
- RB<0: active shift out of foreign equities</p>
- r_t measured in local currency i.e. return plus exchange rate change

Findings

- -4 < α < -12 (US, EA) for k=0</p>
- -6 < α < -20 (US, EA) for k=0 & k=1</p>
- Robustness
 - different sizes of funds
 - pos. vs. neg. returns
 - splitting exchange rate & pure equity return components
 - Overall risk reduction
 - Marginal risk reduction (taking into account covariance structure of individual stocks)

Discussion

- An alternative interpretation of results
- Some smaller queries

Main comment

- Identified effects are large: 1% return differential leads to up to 20% rebalancing for EA investors
 - Semi-annual return differentials in 1998-2002 often much larger
- Assumption/claim: rebalancing occurs because of change in exchange rate exposure
- Q: If investors care <u>so much</u> about exchange rate risk, why don't they hedge it?
 - □ Costs? → FX exposure of debt securities usually largely hedged, but not for equities
 - Why? → maybe investors "like" FX risk (e.g. natural hedge as in HR 2006; small relative to return risk, etc.)

What causes the rebalancing?

- Argument: change in exchange rate exposure
- But maybe its something else...
- How does rebalancing actually take place?
 - Here: shift within asset classes, cross-border only
 - **Do we have evidence in the data for this?**
 - Necessary condition: $corr(\Delta stock_f, \Delta stock_h) < 0$ or even ~-1
- What is not in the model
 - Risk free rate r assumed to be constant over time and identical across countries
 - No other financial assets available

An alternative interpretation

- Equity returns are correlated with exchange rates..
- but also with e.g. bond returns
- Alternative interpretation of results
 - Re-balancing could reflect shift across countries...
 - ... or across asset classes
 - i.e. rebalancing due to change in expected returns across asset classes and across countries, rather than FX exposure
- What causes asset price reactions?
 - Asset pricing framework: ...

Asset pricing framework

Dynamic factor model

$$r_{t} = E_{t-1}(r_{t}) + \beta_{t-1}F_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
$$F_{t} = X_{t} - E_{t-1}(X_{t})$$

- Three sets of factors:
 - Cash flows (incl. expected dividends)
 - Discount rate
 - Risk premium (incl. risk aversion, exposure, uncertainty)

What drives asset prices?

- We need to understand what drives asset prices (and their comovements) to gauge what causes portfolio rebalancing
- What causes asset price reactions?
 - Type of shock matters (cash flow factor (dividends); discount factor; risk premium factor)

Equity return diff. vs. exch. rate reaction



Interest rate diff. vs. exch. rate reaction



Equity return diff. vs. exch. rate reaction



Interest rate diff. vs. exch. rate reaction



Source of asset price change matters

- Shocks to discount rate likely to induce a negative correlation across asset classes and thus a rebalancing across assets (rather than countries)
- Need a richer structure to gauge type of PB; incl. other assets and time-varying, diff. r
 - Discount rate shocks dominate in expansions; cash flow shocks in recessions (Boyd, Hu & Jagannathan 2006)
 - Substantial effect on exp. cash flows also by monetary policy shocks (Bernanke & Kuttner 2005, Ehrmann & Fratzscher 2005)
 - Strong time variations in stock-bond correlation over time (Baele, Bekaert & Inghelbrecht 2006)
 - □ Type of shock matters for exp. depreciation (Faust et al. 2007)

Other comments

- What matters for portfolio decisions are expectations, not realised returns
 - though difficult to tackle here
 - Assumption of positive correlation across expected and realised returns may not necessarily hold
- Puzzling lagged (and large) effect of return differentials on portfolio rebalancing
 - Flows /quantities maybe not flexible, but prices should adjust instantaneously
 - What does that imply for market efficiency?
 - Suggests source of return changes key

Other comments

- Role of changes in risk preference / aversion
- Time-variation: Negative correlation between exchange and equity returns for all periods and countries?

Summary

- Thorough modelling and novel approach
- Can we gauge what type of rebalancing dominates when and under what circumstances?
 - Richer model structure
 - Source and type of shock matters
 - Key for identifying role of exchange rate exposure
- Paper makes important contribution to understanding of portfolio rebalancing